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## Background and problem (1/2)

- PVD characterized by poverty as well as a high population growth
- Niger: economic growth rate of $4.1 \%$ in 2013
- $48.2 \%$ of the population lives below the poverty line in 2011
- 186th of 187 (Report on Human Development 2013)
- average rate of intercensal growth of $3.9 \%$ in 2012 against 3.3\% in 2001 and TFR from 7.1 in 2006 to 7.6 in 2012


## Background and problem (2/2)

- Need to accelerate the demographic transition
- Socioeconomic and demographic variables affecting fertility?
- Age?
- Quality of life?
- Contraception?
- Level of education?
- Place of residence?
- Age at 1st marriage? duration of marriage?
- Impact of these variables on fertility?


## Objectives

- Define the profile of women by number of children
- Identify the determinants of fertility
- Assess the impact of each variable on reducing fertility


## Materials and Methods

- Data source: EDSN-MICS IV 2012
- 11698 women aged 15-49 years and 95.4\% eligible successfully interviewed either 111609509 women whose married or in union $(85,21 \%)$
- Dependent variable: total number of live births per woman
- Explanatory variables: socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of women
- Method of analysis: descriptive analysis, ACM, Poisson model


## Materials and Methods (2/2)

- R Software used: Foreign Packages, MASS, and missMDA FactoMineR
- Using the weight variable of the sample units
- Exposure to risk / opportunity to have children: Duration of marriage
- Analysis of the results is made to all the women and those in union


## Résultats $_{(1 / 6)}$



Figure 1 : Distribution of women by number of children

## Résultats ${ }_{(2 / 6)}$



Figure 2 : Number of births according to the characteristics of women

## Résultats ${ }_{(3 / 6)}$

Table: Characterization factorial axes

| Negative side | Axis 1 | positive side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marital status |  |
| Union |  | Single |
|  | Place of residence |  |
| Rural |  | Urban |
|  | Level of women's education |  |
| Not educated |  | Secondary or higher |
|  | Educational level of spouse |  |
| Not educated |  | Single |
|  | Number of children (illustrative variable) |  |
| 3-5 children, 6 or more children |  | No children |
|  | Axis 2 |  |
|  | Quality of life |  |
|  |  | Richer |
|  | Place of residence |  |
| Rural |  | Urban |
|  | Level of women's education |  |
| Not educated |  | Secondary or higher |
| Educational level of spouse |  |  |
| Not educated |  |  |
|  | Number of children (illustrative variable) |  |
| No children, 6 or more children |  | 1-2, 3-5 childrens |

## Résultats ${ }_{(4 / 6)}$

| Negative side | Axis 3 | positive side |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Duration of marriage |  |  |
| Age at first marriage | 25 years or older |  |
| No children, 1-2 children | Number of children (illustrative) | Marriage before the age of 15 |
| Has job |  |  |

## Résultats $(5 / 6)$

| Variables | Modalities | All women (M2) |  |  |  | Married women or in union (C2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\exp (\beta)$ | (95\%IC) |  | $p$-value | $\exp (\beta)$ | (95\%IC) |  | $p$-value <br> *** |
| Cons | ant | 0.308 | 0.29 | 0.326 | *** | 0.315 | 0.298 | 0.333 |  |
| Age group | 15-19 | 1 |  |  | Réf | 1 |  |  | Réf |
|  |  | 1.228 | 1.152 | 1.311 |  | 1.207 | 1.136 | 1.283 |  |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  | *** |  |  |  | *** |
|  |  | 1.223 | 1.151 | 1.302 |  | 1.199 | 1.132 | 1.272 |  |
|  | 25-29 |  |  |  | *** |  |  |  | *** |
|  | 30-34 | 1.181 | 1.111 | 1.257 | *** | 1.159 | 1.094 | 1.228 | *** |
|  |  | 1.107 | 1.041 | 1.178 |  | 1.084 | 1.024 | 1.149 |  |
|  | 35-39 |  |  |  | ** |  |  |  | ** |
|  | 40-44 | 1.006 | 0.946 | 1.071 |  | 1 | 0.944 | 1.061 |  |
|  | 45-49 | 0.91 | 0.855 | 0.969 | ** | 0.896 | 0.845 | 0.951 | *** |
| Place of residence | Village | 1 |  |  | Réf | 1 |  |  | Réf |
|  | Capitale city | 0.878 | 0.846 | 0.912 | *** | 0.894 | 0.862 | 0.928 | *** |
|  | Capital of region | 0.976 | 0.94 | 1.013 |  | 0.985 | 0.949 | 1.021 |  |
|  | Other town | 0.892 | 0.856 | 0.929 | *** | 0.897 | 0.862 | 0.933 | *** |
| Education level | No education | 1 |  |  | Réf | 1 |  |  | Réf |
|  | Primary | 0.956 | 0.929 | 0.983 | ** | 0.967 | 0.94 | 0.993 | * |
|  | Secondary or + | 0.916 | 0.871 | 0.963 | *** | 0.925 | 0.881 | 0.971 | ** |

## Résultats ${ }_{(6 / 6)}$



## Conclusion $_{(1 / 2)}$

Proven facts: Age, level of education, place of residence, duration of marriage / union, age at the first marriage associated with fertility


- Intensification of enrollments of girls at school
- Awareness of young girls and their parents to postpone the age at marriage


## Conclusion (2/2)

- Introduction of health modules on reproductive / public and family life in school curricula
- Awareness of women in union for limiting and spacing
- Involvement of religious leaders, community and traditional leaders for the decline in age at marriage


## Limites

- Measured explanatory variables at the time of the survey, while the phenomenon studied is related to the entire reproductive life of women
- Possibility of no reporting of deaths as children born by women
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